

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>santa miceli

<ADDRESS1>82-59 262nd street

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>floral park

<STATE>NY

<ZIP>11004

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>forzamets@yahoo.com

<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>santa miceli

<ADDRESS1>82-59 262nd street

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>floral park

<STATE>NY

<ZIP>11004

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>forzamets@yahoo.com

<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>santa miceli

<ADDRESS1>82-59 262nd street

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>floral park

<STATE>NY

<ZIP>11004

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>forzamets@yahoo.com

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and

primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

santa miceli
82-59 262nd street
floral park, New York 11004